

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA
EASTERN DISTRICT**

LIRONG XU,	:	No. 117 EM 2025
	:	
Petitioner	:	
	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
	:	
THE SUPERIOR COURT PENNSYLVANIA,	:	
THE CLERK BENJAMIN D. KOHLER OF	:	
THE SUPERIOR COURT, THE CLERK	:	
LETITIA SANTAIELLI,	:	
	:	
Respondents	:	

ORDER

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 29th day of December, 2025, the Petition for Writ of Mandamus, the “Motion for Entry of Default Judgment, to Strike Fraudulent Filing, and for Award of Damages,” the “Motion to Strike August 14, 2025 Clerk’s Order as Void,” the “Motion to Strike and Declare Void All Clerk-Issued Orders for Fraud, Lack of Judicial Authority, and Procedural Nullity,” the “Motion to Strike or Authenticate Per Curiam Orders Based on Fraud, Procedural Voidness, and Administrative Overreach,” the “Motion Exposing Fraud, Conflict of Interest, and System Corruption Within the Pennsylvania Judiciary,” the “Motion for Authentication of Purported Per Curiam Orders Based on Fraud, Procedural Voidness, and Administrative Overreach,” the “Motion to Strike Respondents’ Filing Dated August 29, 2025, as Untimely, Fraudulent, and Improperly Served,” the “Motion for Sanctions Against the Clerk for Deliberately Omitting ‘Authenticate’ from the Motion Title,”

the “Motion to Clarify Session Information and Notice of Non-Receipt of Docketed Listing,” the “Motion to Investigate and Refer for Criminal Liability the Court Clerk,” the “Motion to Strike All Purported ‘Per Curiam’ Orders,” the “Motion to Compel Authentication of the October 1, 2025 ‘Per Curiam’ Order,” the “Motion for Declaratory Ruling and Institutional Safeguards Regarding Invalid Per Curiam Orders,” the “Emergency Petition to Compel Authentication of Judicial Orders,” the “Emergency Petition to Compel Authentication of Judicial Orders and to Verify Judicial Participation,” the “Motion to Strike Memorandum Opinion as Void for Lack of Valid Judicial Signature and Clerk Certification,” the “Memorandum of Structural Conflict of Interest,” the “Motion to Require Production and Authentication of Senior Judge Appointment Documents,” and the “Motion to Require Full Docket Authentication” are DENIED.